

1 MARSHALL GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP
2 BRADFORD P. LYERLA (*pro hac vice*)
3 KEVIN D. HOGG (*pro hac vice*)
4 JEFFREY H. DEAN (*pro hac vice*)
5 GREGORY STANTON (*pro hac vice*)
6 ANDREW RAYMOND (*pro hac vice*)
7 6300 Sears Tower
8 Chicago, Illinois 60606
9 (312) 474-6300 (telephone)
10 (312) 474-0448 (telecopier)
11 blyerla@marshallip.com
12 khogg@marshallip.com
13 jdean@marshallip.com
14 gstanton@marshallip.com
15 araymond@marshallip.com

16 COUNSEL FOR SAVAGE
17 COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 SAN JOSE DIVISION
14

15 ACACIA MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES
16 CORPORATION,

17 Plaintiff,

18 - vs. -

19 NEW DESTINY INTERNET GROUP, *et al.*,

20 Defendants.
21

22 AND ALL RELATED AND/OR
23 CONSOLIDATED CASE ACTIONS
24

Case No. C 05-01114 JW (HRL)

MDL NO. 1665

**DEFENDANT SAVAGE COMMUNICA-
TIONS, INC.'S PROPOSED TERMS FOR
RECONSIDERATION AND PRELIMI-
NARY CONSTRUCTIONS (PATENT L.4.
4-1 AND 4-2)**

25
26
27
28 Case No. C 05-01114 JW (HRL)
MDL NO. 1665

SAVAGE'S PROPOSED TERMS FOR
RECONSIDERATION AND PRELIMINARY
CONSTRUCTIONS (PATENT L.R. 4-1 AND 4-2)

1 In accordance with the Court's order dated June 21, 2005, and the order dated June
2 27, 2005, defendant SAVAGE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. ("Savage") hereby submits its
3 Proposed Terms for Reconsideration and Preliminary Constructions.

4 Savage proposes that the Court should reconsider its construction of the term "remote
5 locations," and should construe that term to mean "positions or sites distant in space from the
6 requesting location" for the reasons set forth in detail in the Memorandum of Law and Fact
7 in Support of Reconsideration of the Court's Construction of the Term "Remote Locations"
8 filed on July 28, 2005 ("Memorandum"), which Savage incorporates herein by reference. As
9 explained in the Memorandum, Savage proposes construction of "remote locations" follows
10 from the claims, specification, and prosecution history of U.S. Patent No. 5,132,992, as well
11 as the prosecution history of the related U.S. Patent No. 6,002,720, and thus Savage does not
12 rely on extrinsic evidence.¹ Savage does, however, reserve the right to present extrinsic evi-
13 dence in order to rebut any arguments or evidence that plaintiff Acacia Media Technologies
14 Corp. ("Acacia") may present in opposition to the Memorandum.

15 Savage does not intend to ask the Court to reconsider any of the other terms that the
16 Court construed in its July 12, 2004 order. Savage understands that Acacia intends to ask the
17 Court to reconsider the terms "transmission system," including the phrase "transmission sys-
18 tem at a first location;" "reception system at a second location;" "sequence encoder;" "identi-
19 fication encoder;" and "in data communication with." Savage, however, agrees with the
20 Court's construction of those terms in its July 12, 2004 order for the reasons stated in that

21
22 ¹ The prosecution history of the '720 patent is intrinsic evidence for the construction of
23 the terms of the '992 patent. *See, e.g., Laitram Corp. v. Morehouse Indus.*, 143 F.3d 1456,
24 1460 n.2 (Fed. Cir. 1998) ("We refer to the 'prosecution history' [of two patents stemming
25 from the same parent application] without reference to the patent to which it pertains. . . .").
26 But to the extent that Acacia contends that the '720 prosecution history is extrinsic evidence
27 in spite of Federal Circuit authority to the contrary, or that any of the other documents at-
28 tached as exhibits to the declaration of Jeffrey H. Dean—namely, the other patents in the
Yurt family of patents, and certain references cited in, and therefore part of, the '992 and
'720 prosecution histories—are extrinsic evidence, Savage hereby "identifies" those docu-
ments pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-2(b).

1 order. Savage does not intend to introduce any additional extrinsic evidence in support of
2 the Court's constructions, but, again, reserves the right to present such evidence in order to
3 rebut any arguments or evidence that Acacia may present in connection with its motion(s) for
4 reconsideration of those terms.

5
6 DATED: August 30, 2005

BRADFORD P. LYERLA (*pro hac vice*)
KEVIN D. HOGG (*pro hac vice*)
JEFFREY H. DEAN (*pro hac vice*)
GREGORY G. STANTON (*pro hac vice*)
ANDREW D. RAYMOND (*pro hac vice*)
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP
6300 Sears Tower
233 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357

10
11 By: /s/ Jeffrey H. Dean
Jeffrey H. Dean

12 COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT SAVAGE COM-
13 MUNICATIONS, INC.